

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,701	07/31/2003	Chen Kung Cheng	BHT-3098-157	1618	
7590 11/30/2005			EXAMINER		
TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC			GROSSO, I	GROSSO, HARRY A	
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/630,701	CHENG, CHEN KUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harry A. Grosso	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under &	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: ction Summary					
•	-					

Application/Control Number: 10/630,701 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the second partitioning slat (claim 2) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/630,701

Art Unit: 3727

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor (1,523,136) in view of Guay et al (2003/01646645 A1, September 4, 2003).
- 4. O'Conner discloses a receptacle (1) with a pair of first assembling bodies (2) having an insertion slit (7) at a middle section and a partitioning slat (8). The slits at each end of the assembling bodies can also act as perforations.
- 5. O'Connor does not teach a pair of second assembling bodies. Guay et al discloses a partition (assembling body) (8, Figures 1 and 2) between two assembling bodies having bending sections at each end extending in opposite directions and slits in the middle section to allow engagement of partitioning slats (paragraph 0018). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of the assembling bodies (partition) with bending sections at each end and middle section slits as disclosed by Guay et al at the end perforations of the first assembling bodies of O'Connor to create a rectangular frame to provide a means for using partitions in both directions across the receptacle.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor and Guay et al as applied to claim 1 in view of Swingley, Jr. O'Connor and Guay et al disclose the invention of claim 1 but do not teach the use of a second partitioning slat. Swingley, Jr. discloses a receptacle with a first (64) and a second (66) partitioning slat inserted into insertion slits (Figure 1) and the first partitioning slat having a split (76) Figure 4) for insertion into a split (76) on the second partitioning slat (column 6, lines 40-

Art Unit: 3727

47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of first and second partitioning slats with splits for joining them as disclosed by Swingley, Jr. in the receptacle disclosed in claim 1 to provide a means of dividing the receptacle in two directions to create an increased number of compartments.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sunka (6,493,917) disclosed a partitioning frame in a receptacle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,701

Art Unit: 3727

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JES F. PASCUA PRIMARY EXAMINER

for Nathan Newhouse

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3727

hag